This tree is your car's best friend

000

What Trees Can Do to Reduce Atmospheric C0₂





This document was prepared for Tree Canada by:

Nigel T. Roulet Professor of Geography and Director of the Centre for Climate and Global Change Research, McGill University, 805 Sherbrooke Street West, Montrèal, QC H3A 2K6

Bill Freedman Professor of Ecology and Environment, Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J1

Nigel T. Roulet and Bill Freedman were members of the Board of Directors for Tree Canada.

September 2008



What are best friends for if not to lend a helping hand

We love our cars. And like a friend, they're always there for us when we need them – to get from here to there, from home to work, from school to soccer, from coast to coast.

Our truck or SUV can, at times, be just like a best friend, always there for us. So too is another friend, certainly not as widely used but no less important to us ... and to our car. A tree!

Trees can be a car's best friend because they help remove atmospheric CO_2 from the air.



Tree Canada is a not-for-profit, charitable organization established to encourage Canadians to plant and care for trees in urban and rural environments. One of the goals is to help reduce the harmful effects of carbon dioxide emissions in support of climate change.

Tree Canada provides educational, technical and financial support through partnerships with the private and public sectors in order to:

- facilitate the planting and care of trees
- throughout urban and rural Canada;
- educate Canadians about the environmental
- benefits of planting and caring for trees;
- assist interested parties in implementing a wide range of self-sustaining tree planting and educational initiatives;
- encourage corporations, communities and individuals to participate in the program.

We see a future in which Canadians appreciate and understand the economic and environmental value of trees and, as a result, get personally involved in the planting and care of trees in communities across the country.





The Climate System and Greenhouse Gases

Well documented increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) , methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N20)have occurred over the last 200 years. Collectively, these gases are referred to as 'greenhouse gases' (GHG), because they absorb longwave radiation emitted from the Earth and this leads to the heating of the atmosphere. From gases trapped in bubbles in ice cores, it is known that the concentration of these gases vary naturally over long time scales (thousands of years), but current concentrations and rates of increase are unprecedented over the last 200,000 years. In 1995, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), a group of over 2,000 scientists dealing with all aspects of climate change and variability, assembled under the auspices of the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), concluded that "the 20th century global mean temperature is at least as warm as any other century since at least 1400 AD" (IPCC 1995: 43) and "that the observed warming trend is unlikely to be entirely natural in origin" (IPCC 1995: 43). Further, based on recent studies of patterns in climate, the observations are consistent with what "one would expect as an anthropogenic signal increases in strength" and "the probability is very low that these correspondences could occur by chance as a result of natural internal variability only" (IPCC 1995: 44). There is reasonable evidence that human-caused climate change is occurring.

In December 1997, in Kyoto, Japan, 160 countries reached an agreement that industrialised countries would, by 2008 to 2012, collectively reduce GHG emissions by 5.2% relative to their 1990 emissions.¹ The methods of how this could be obtained and the mechanisms for accounting for reductions in GHG emissions were discussed at a meeting of the parties in Buenos Aries in November 1998. Canada has agreed to a 6% reduction in GHG emissions by 2012 relative to 1990 levels. The Kyoto agreement has left a range of flexibility for countries to develop their own strategies for obtaining reductions. Overall, long-term reductions in greenhouse gases will largely be reached through a combination of increased energy efficiency, implementation of alternative technologies, and reduction

three



in energy demand. Short-term reductions, however, can also be attained through conservation measures and the development of carbon sinks, such as the sequestration of carbon dioxide by growing trees. There is considerable discussion over what forest practices would constitute a legitimate carbon sink. Discussion based on the Kyoto agreement focuses on the concept of reforestation, afforestation, and deforestation (RAD). While it can be argued that reforestation is part of good forest management and therefore might not be directly accounted for, there is little question that afforestation of previously non-forested land or as the result of land-use change (e.g. trees in urban areas, abandoned agricultural lands, etc.) is a carbon sink.

¹ For details on the United Nations Framework for Convention on Climate Change see the Official Web Site of the Climate Change Secretariat (www.unfccc.de). This site contains details of the Conference of Parties (COP3 Kyoto, December 1997; and COP4 Buenos Aries, November, 1998).





Atmosphereic CO2 the Global Carbon Budget and the Influence of the Terrestrial Biosphere

The global carbon budget comprises a series of reservoirs that store carbon, and a number of pathways that exchange carbon among reservoirs, mostly in the form of CO_2 (Figure 1). Scientists have been able to delineate the reservoirs reasonably well, but have greater uncertainty in the rates of exchange (Schimel, 1995). The surface ocean and the terrestrial biosphere (plants and soils) are the two reservoirs that most strongly influence the mass of CO₂ in the atmosphere over the time scale of decades to centuries. The exchanges between the atmosphere and these two reservoirs are large, but annually the reservoir inputs and outputs are almost equal, so the net exchange with the atmosphere is almost zero (Figure 1). In comparison, the net input of CO₂ to the atmosphere by human combustion of fossil-fuels and changes in land-use is large. Unlike the biospheric influences, there are no human



Figure 1. The global carbon budget influences the mass, and therefore the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere. The stores of carbon in the terrestrial and oceanic biospheres and the atmosphere are in Gt C (GT-gigatonne; 1 Gt = 1 Pg = 1015 g), and the exchanges between the terrestrial and oceanic biosphere and the atmosphere are expressed in Gt C yr-1. The values used in this diagram are taken from Schimel et al. (1995). To convert the stores and exchange from Gt carbon to Gt of CO_2 multiply the values in the diagram by 3.67.

activities that provide an uptake equivalent to the anthropogenic emissions.

The terrestrial biosphere is a large store of carbon. About one-third of the carbon is stored in living plant biomass (trees, shrubs, etc.) and the remaining two-thirds is in soil as the residuals of plant decomposition and minerals. Small changes in the biosphere reservoir can have a tremendous effect on the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere. The sources and sinks of CO_2 in the biosphere are very difficult to quantify, but it is estimated that CO_2 emissions resulting from the conversion of forest to grassland and agricultural systems accounts for almost half of the total anthropogenic emissions of CO_2 over the past century (Houghten et al., 1987). At present, however, about 20% of the anthropogenic CO_2 emissions to the atmosphere comes from deforestation and other land-use changes (Table 1).

Conversion of land from unproductive uses back to forests (this is known as afforestation) can provide an important sink in the contemporary carbon budget. In much of Europe and North America, abandoned farmland has been converted back to forests and this has resulted in a large, net sink of CO_2 (Table 1). Measurements of the CO_2 exchange over secondary-growth forests in eastern North America and Europe reveal that they are able to take up and store between 1 and 3 t C ha-1 yr-1 (Wofsy et al., 1993; Greco & Baldocchi, 1996)

C0 ₂ Sources	Gt C yr ⁻¹
Emissions from fossil-fuels and cement production	5.5 ± 0.5
Net emissions from land-use change	1.6 ± 1.0
total anthropogenic emissions	7.1 ± 1.1
Partitioning $\rm CO_2$ amongst the reservoirs	Gt C yr ⁻¹
Storage in the atmosphere	3.3 ± 0.2
Oceanic uptake	2.0 ± 0.8
Uptake by forest regrowth in the Northern Hemisphere	0.5 ± 0.5
Inferred sink in northern forests	1.3 ± 1.5

Table 1. Annual average carbon budget for the period 1980 to1989 based on Schimel et. al. (1995)



Forested ecosystems sequester carbon through the annual increment in growth in their woody biomass, plus the proportion of litter fall that becomes incorporated into the soil carbon pool. When trees are first planted, a large proportion of the annual exchange of CO₂ goes into growing plant biomass. Also, early in the growth of the tree planted on an agricultural or urban land, the amount of carbon in the soil is small, and consequently soil respiration is not large. This results in a high rate of carbon sequestration in the first several decades of growth of a tree (Figure 2). As a forest ecosystem matures, the organic content of the soil also increases, and the total ecosystem respiration rises. When the ecosystem is mature, the total ecosystem respiration is approximately equal to gross production, and the ecosystem will no longer be a net sink of CO₂. The total amount of CO₂ removed from the atmosphere is then equal to the carbon stored in the living biomass of the trees and soil. The age at which trees reach maturity differs greatly among species and climatic regions, but the largest component of carbon sequestration occurs during the first 60 to 100 years.

If the trees are harvested and used in a way that returns the carbon to the atmosphere as CO_2 , (e.g. if used as firewood, or allowed to decompose), then the sequestered carbon is lost. If, however, the harvested wood goes into some form of long-term storage (e.g. housing timber or furniture), then a large portion of the stored carbon will remain sequestered, and new tree growth in the regenerating forest will remove additional CO_2 from the atmosphere. If the cut tree decomposes quickly, or is burnt, then new tree growth is only replacing part of the previously sequestered carbon.





Figure 2. The sequestration of carbon by a tree occurs in the living biomass of the tree and in the soils. The total amount of carbon sequestered is the amount of carbon stored at ecosystem maturity in the sum of the carbon in the standing trees and the soil. The rate of annual carbon sequestration is the amonut of carbon stored in any one year.

It is estimated that a total of 11.8 Gt C (or 11.8 x 1015 tonnes of carbon) has been sequestered in tree plantations in the tropical, temperate, and boreal forest regions (Winjum & Schroeder, 1997), and that the annual rate of sequestration is about 0.2 Gt C yr-1. The total carbon stored in plantations is equivalent to a 2% reduction in the total CO_2 stored in the atmosphere. This represents an 8% offset to the anthropogenic emissions to the atmosphere over the last 100 years, and is a 4% offset of the current annual CO_2 emissions from fossil-fuel combustion.

eight



There is little readily-available data regarding the amount of carbon sequestered by single trees, but Freedman & Keith (1995) used forest-productivity data of provincial forest agencies to develop growth and carbon sequestration curves for major tree species of the provinces of Canada, under different site conditions (poor, moderate, and good). The carbon sequestration was derived from aboveand below-ground biomass estimates, assuming that carbon is approximately 50% of the dry biomass of a tree. There is a very large range of carbon uptake and storage among tree species, regions, and site conditions in Canada. To simplify these calculations, however, we have computed the carbon sequestered by an "average" Canadian tree. Such a tree does not really exist, but it represents the typical carbon sequestration rate reported by Freedman & Keith (1995) for many species of trees, in all geographic regions of Canada, under moderate soil conditions, assuming it takes 80 years for the tree to reach maturity. In the urban environment, it is assumed that the annual litter fall from the tree is removed, so no carbon is added to the soil. In rural and afforestation areas, however, litter is not removed, so we assume a typical added component of carbon stored in the soil. Overall, our calculations suggest that the "average" Canadian tree will sequester about 200 kg C over an 80-year period in an urban environment, and 225 kg C in a rural environment. On an annual basis, this is equivalent to storage of 2.5 kg C yr-1 in an urban environment, and 2.8 kg C yr-1 in a rural one.



We would like to thank Jenny-Marie Ferone, Angie Mansi, and Philip Smith of the Centre for Climate and Global Change Research at McGill University for their assistance in the preparation of this document.

References

FREEDMAN, B., and T. Keith, 1995: Planting Trees for Carbon Credits (Tree Canada Foundation; Ottawa), pp. 42.

GRECO, S.A., and D.D. Baldocchi, 1996: "Seasonal variation of CO₂ and water vapour exchange rates over a temperate deciduous forest," Global Change Biology 2: 183-197.

HOUGHTON, R.A., R.D. Boone, J.R. Fruci, J.E. Hobbie, J.M. Melillo, C.A. Palm, B.J. Peterson, G.R. Shaver, G.M. Woodwell, B. Moore, D.L. Skole, and N. Meyers, 1987: "The flux of carbon from terrestrial ecosystems to the atmosphere in 1980 due to changes in land-use: geographic distribution of the global flux," Tellus 39B: 122-139.

IPCC, 1995: Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change - Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change J.T. Houghton, L.G. Meira Filho, B.A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskell (eds.) (Cambridge, 1996).

SCHIMEL, D.S., I.G. Enting, M. Heimann, T.M. Wigley, D. Raynaud, D. Alves, and U. Siegenthaler, 1995: "CO₂ and the Carbon Cycle", in Climate Change 1994 J.T. Houghton, L.G. Meira Filho, J. Bruce, Hoesung Lee, B.A. Callander, E. Haites, N. Harris, and K. Maskell (eds.) (Cambridge): 39-71.

WINJUM, J.K., and P.E. Schroeder, 1997: "Forest plantations of the world: their extent, ecological attributes, and carbon storage," Agr. and For. Met. 84: 153-167.

WOFSY, S.C., M.L. Goulden, J.W. Munger, S.-M. Fan, P.S. Bakwin, B.C. Daube, S.C. Bassow, and F.A. Bazzaz, 1993: "Net exchange of CO_2 in a mid-latitude forest," Science 260: 1314-1317.

ten



Carbon Offset Worksheet #1

LIFETIME CARBON REMOVAL							
Location of planting	Number of trees planted	Division factor	Survival factor	tC removed over 80 yrs			
Urban		÷ 5.0	× 1.0				
Rural		÷ 4.4	× 1.0				
Afforestation		÷ 4.4	× 0.7				

Based on the average biomass of trees in Canada (derived from tree growth estimates published by the provinces), and a multiplier for carbon stored in the soils, we have developed the following worksheet to help you determine the amount of carbon removed by your tree planting efforts.

There are two ways to calculate the amount of carbon removed. The first is the total amount of CO_2 fixed over the lifetime of the tree: In these estimates we have assumed a life of 80 years, since after this age, a typical tree in Canada sequesters little additional carbon (though trees from B.C. coastal and some interior forests continue to grow and sequester CO_2 to 100+ years). The second calculation is based on the amount of carbon removed annually by trees that have been planted. This removal would occur for 80 years.





Carbon Offset Worksheet #2

ANNUAL CARBON REMOVAL							
Location of planting	Number of trees planted	Division factor	Survival factor	tC/yr removed for each 80 yrs			
Urban		÷ 400	× 1.0				
Rural		÷ 360	× 1.0				
Afforestation		÷ 360	× 0.7				

The carbon removed is expressed in t C (x 106 g C). To compute the mass of CO_2 removed you need to multiply the carbon removed by a factor of 44/12 or 3.67, which is the ratio of the mass of a CO_2 molecule to the mass of carbon atom in each CO_2 molecule.



CONTACT US: Tree Canada 402 - 222 Somerset St. West. Ottawa ON Canada K2P 2G3

1-877-666-1444

www.treecanada.ca

Design: Limelight Advertising & Design · Printing: Cielo Print Inc.

Paper: Rolland Enviro100 - 100% post-consumer fibre, FSC recycled, ecologo and Processed Chlorine Free certified, manufactured in Canada using biogas energy.



twelve